

PAPER

A gravitational energy–momentum and the thermodynamic description of gravity

To cite this article: G Acquaviva *et al* 2018 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **35** 095001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- [Dynamical laws of superenergy in general relativity](#)
Alfonso García-Parrado Gómez-Lobo
- [Gravito-electromagnetism](#)
Roy Maartens and Bruce A Bassett
- [Algebraic classification of higher dimensional spacetimes based on null alignment](#)
Marcello Ortaggio, Vojtěch Pravda and Alena Pravdová

A gravitational energy–momentum and the thermodynamic description of gravity

G Acquaviva^{}, D Kofroň and M Scholtz

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Charles University, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Prague, Czechia

E-mail: gioacqua@utf.troja.mff.cuni.cz, d.kofron@gmail.com
and scholtz@utf.mff.cuni.cz

Received 30 January 2018, revised 19 February 2018

Accepted for publication 23 February 2018

Published 19 March 2018



Abstract

A proposal for the gravitational energy–momentum tensor, known in the literature as the *square root of Bel–Robinson tensor* (SQBR), is analyzed in detail. Being constructed exclusively from the Weyl part of the Riemann tensor, such tensor encapsulates the geometric properties of free gravitational fields in terms of optical scalars of null congruences: making use of the general decomposition of any energy–momentum tensor, we explore the thermodynamic interpretation of such geometric quantities. While the matter energy–momentum is identically conserved due to Einstein’s field equations, the SQBR is not necessarily conserved and dissipative terms could arise in its vacuum continuity equation. We discuss the possible physical interpretations of such mathematical properties.

Keywords: gravitational thermodynamics, Bel–Robinson tensor, square root of Bel–Robinson tensor, gravito-electromagnetism

1. Introduction

The search for a meaningful definition of energy–momentum tensor (EMT) for the gravitational field has been a concern since soon after the formulation of general relativity (GR) [1–3]. It became clear pretty soon that the equivalence principle itself, a cornerstone of the geometric description of gravity, renders the definition of a local gravitational energy–momentum ambiguous. In fact, in accordance with the principle, it is always possible to choose an inertial frame of reference in which locally the gravitational field vanishes. Accordingly, any EMT constructed from the metric and its first derivatives would vanish in such a local frame. However, if a tensor vanishes in one frame it has to vanish in any other frame, hence hampering the possibility of defining a non-vanishing energy–momentum. A possible way out is represented by *pseudotensorial* definitions of energy–momentum, such as the Einstein or the Landau–Lifshitz pseudotensors. The latter, in particular, is symmetric (i.e. angular momentum

is conserved) and vanishes in any locally inertial frame; moreover, its sum with the matter energy–momentum has a vanishing divergence.

On a mathematical level, the impossibility to define an energy–momentum for the gravitational field is due to the fact that the metric g_{ab} plays the role of background and dynamical field simultaneously. The variation of the Einstein–Hilbert action with respect to the metric yields the Einstein field equations and there is no other independent variable which would imply the existence of a conserved symmetric tensor [4]. The next natural choice of variable would be the connection, which is a non-local object since it connects different fibers of the tangent bundle. As said above, components of the connection can be eliminated locally, but not in an extended region. This gives hope that one might be able to define a meaningful notion of energy in a quasi-local way. It turns out that for algebraically special spacetimes there is the possibility of defining a local energy–momentum tensor which measures the energy of the gravitational field in a non-local way, as it depends on second derivatives of the metric. We are going to analyze the properties of a specific proposal along these lines.

Let us recall at this point that the Riemann tensor can be split into trace (Ricci) and traceless (Weyl) parts. The former is of course related to the matter content through Einstein’s field equations, which establish a pointwise correspondence between the energy of a source distribution and the local behavior of the spacetime; the latter instead, which is the only part that survives in vacuum, describes properties of free gravitational fields and their propagation between distant regions (e.g. gravitational waves). It is hence reasonable to look for intrinsic energetic properties of free gravitational fields in the Weyl part of the Riemann tensor.

In the search for the suitable gravitational EMT it is perhaps useful to enforce a formal analogy that has been pointed out in several occasions between the gravitational field and the electromagnetic one [5, 6], analogy that is based on the correspondence between the Maxwell tensor F_{ab} and the Weyl tensor C_{abcd} . In a covariant $1 + 3$ splitting of the spacetime the Weyl tensor can be decomposed into *electric* part, E_{ab} , and *magnetic* part, H_{ab} , which acquire the role of fundamental dynamical quantities alongside with the basic properties of matter (energy density, pressure, etc). In fact, in such splitting the Bianchi identities assume a transparently Maxwellian form and it is possible to recognize the Bel–Robinson (BR) tensor as a *super-energy tensor* for free gravity. BR is completely symmetric, traceless and conserved in vacuum; in analogy with the electromagnetic counterpart, the symmetries of such object allow to define a super-energy density and a super-Poynting vector. The physical interpretation of super-energies is still a matter of debate and research [7–9].

The first problem one encounters in associating, for instance, the completely timelike component of BR to a notion of energy is that the latter has dimensions of energy squared. In order to soften such interpretative issue, the *square-root of the BR tensor* (SQBR) has been proposed as a possible definition of gravitational EMT [10]. Apart from having the right energy dimension, the SQBR possesses interesting properties which have been already pointed out in the study of thermodynamic behavior of classical spacetimes, particularly in connection with the notion of *gravitational entropy* [11]. The definition of entropy arising from this framework enjoys some of the desired properties that one expects from the entropy of the gravitational field [12, 13]: it is non-negative, it vanishes if and only if the Weyl tensor is zero, increases as structures (inhomogeneities) form in the Universe and reduces to the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy for Schwarzschild black holes. In the present paper we are going to review properties of the SQBR and provide new insights with the aim of clarifying better its possible relation with the thermodynamic behavior of the gravitational field.

In section 2 we present the derivation of SQBR in both spinor and tensorial forms for spacetimes that belong to Types N and D in the Petrov classification; we further propose a way to fix the inherent freedom in the definition of the SQBR. In section 3 we present the relations

between optical scalars of timelike congruences and spin coefficients, which will be useful in the subsequent analysis. In section 4 we exploit the general decomposition of any EMT in order to relate the geometric properties of the congruences to usual thermodynamic quantities; we note that the SQBR is in general not divergence-free even in vacuum, signaling an intrinsically dissipative behavior of some gravitational configurations; we further interpret the timelike projection of its covariant divergence as a first law of thermodynamics, expressing the variation of gravitational energy as due both to *work* made on/by the system, and to *dissipation*. In section 5 we show that the SQBR can be recast in the form of an electromagnetic EMT: the components of the SQBR in this setting exactly reproduce those of its EM counterpart. We apply such schemes in sections 6 and 7 to the cases of Type N and Type D spacetimes respectively; we provide specific examples in which the behavior of the thermodynamic quantities is analyzed, highlighting as well the intrinsic observer-dependence of some effects. Eventually, in section 8 we provide a conclusive overview of the analysis and present possible future paths to be undertaken. Throughout the paper, the metric signature is $(+, -, -, -)$. Tensorial indices will be denoted by a, b, c, \dots while spinor indices will be denoted by A, B, C, \dots . The tetrad components of tensors will be labeled by $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \dots$ and the spatial components of tensors by $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}, \dots$. The Riemann tensor is defined by $2 \nabla_{[c} \nabla_{d]} X_a = -R_{abcd} X^b$.

2. The Bel–Robinson tensor and its square root

It is interesting to note how most of the properties of the BR tensor mirror those of the Maxwell tensor of electromagnetism. The formal analogy that ensues has been investigated by several authors and it establishes the role of the BR tensor as a super-energy–momentum for the gravitational field. While we refer the reader to [5] for a transparent analysis of the electromagnetic-like properties of the BR tensor, we present here only the notions relevant for our discussion. For a generic spacetime, the BR tensor is defined as

$$T_{abcd} = C_{aef} C_{b\ d}^{ef} + {}^*C_{aef} {}^*C_{b\ d}^{ef}, \quad (1)$$

and it enjoys the properties of complete symmetry, tracelessness and covariant conservation in vacuum. In the spirit of the aforementioned analogy, given a generic timelike congruence u^a one can define electric $E_{ac} = C_{abcd} u^b u^d$ and magnetic $H_{ac} = -{}^*C_{abcd} u^b u^d$ parts of the Weyl tensor. In terms of these one can write down, for instance, a super-energy density as the completely timelike component of BR:

$$U \equiv T_{abcd} u^a u^b u^c u^d = E_{ef} E^{ef} + H_{ef} H^{ef}, \quad (2)$$

which is an invariant quantity under spatial duality rotations. On one hand, the possibility of defining the energy of the gravitational field through (2), although tempting, is however hampered by the simple fact that a super-energy has dimensions of energy squared. On the other hand, in [10] the authors notice that the Bel tensor in the case of Einstein–Maxwell systems can be decomposed irreducibly in two parts, one of which is the *square of the electromagnetic EMT*; the second part is the BR tensor.

The two considerations above open the possibility of considering the ‘square root’ of Bel–Robinson (SQBR) as a possible definition of EMT for free gravitational fields. Such proposal has been picked up by [11] and subsequently applied to particular cases in [12, 13]. In what follows we will employ the Newman–Penrose (NP) formalism in which the properties of principal null directions are encoded in the spin coefficients.

We will also employ the formalism of 2-spinors [14, 15] because in this formalism the algebraic properties of curvature tensors become more transparent. At each point of the spacetime,

the space of spinors S^A is a complex two dimensional space whose elements will be labeled by capital letters, e.g. ξ^A . With S^A we canonically associate the dual space S_A , the complex conjugate space $S^{A'}$ and the complex conjugate dual space $S_{A'}$. Recall that any spinor ξ^A gives rise to a real null spacetime vector,

$$k^a = \xi^A \bar{\xi}^{A'}, \quad (3)$$

where bar denotes complex conjugation. The space of spinors is equipped with the symplectic form ϵ_{AB} which is related to the spacetime metric by

$$g_{ab} = \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{A'B'}. \quad (4)$$

The spinorial equivalent of the Weyl tensor C_{abcd} is represented by a totally symmetric spinor Ψ_{ABCD} , so that the anti-self-dual part of the Weyl tensor is

$$C_{abcd} = \Psi_{ABCD} \epsilon_{A'B'} \epsilon_{C'D'} \quad (5)$$

and the real Weyl tensor is

$$C_{abcd} = \mathcal{C}_{abcd} + \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{abcd}. \quad (6)$$

Similarly, the anti-self-dual part of the electromagnetic field $F_{ab} = \mathcal{F}_{ab} + \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{ab}$ is given by a symmetric spinor ϕ_{AB} as

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} = \phi_{AB} \epsilon_{A'B'}. \quad (7)$$

Let us briefly review the spinorial construction of SQBR, following [16], and discuss several related issues. As any totally symmetric spinor, Ψ_{ABCD} can be factorized into the symmetrized product of four univalent spinors called *principal spinors*,

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \alpha_{(A} \beta_B \gamma_C \delta_{D)}. \quad (8)$$

Each principal spinor determines a *principal null direction*, e.g. principal spinor α_A gives rise to a principal null vector $\alpha^A \bar{\alpha}^{A'}$ and corresponding null direction. The Petrov Type of the Weyl tensor is then determined by the number of linearly independent principal null directions.

The spinor equivalent of the Bel–Robinson tensor is

$$T_{abcd} = \Psi_{ABCD} \bar{\Psi}_{A'B'C'D'} \quad (9)$$

and is manifestly trace-free. Moreover, by the spinor form of Bianchi identities in vacuum,

$$\nabla_{A'}^A \Psi_{ABCD} = 0, \quad (10)$$

the tensor T_{abcd} is also divergence-free in vacuum. A symmetric tensor t_{ab} is called *square root of the Bel–Robinson tensor* (SQBR), if it satisfies

$$T_{abcd} = t_{(AB(A'B'} t_{C'D')CD)}. \quad (11)$$

Clearly, if t_{ab} is a SQBR, then also

$$t_{ab} + f g_{ab} \equiv t_{ABA'B'} + f \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{A'B'} \quad (12)$$

is a SQBR and we will discuss appropriate choice of f in section 2.3. The question is whether, apart from freedom given by (12), one can unambiguously define t_{ab} in terms of principal spinors of the Weyl spinor. It turns out that this is feasible only in spacetimes of Types N and D.

2.1. Type N spacetimes

In Type N spacetimes, the Weyl tensor admits a single degenerate principal null direction, that is

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \alpha_A \alpha_B \alpha_C \alpha_D. \quad (13)$$

Defining

$$\phi_{AB} = \alpha_A \alpha_B, \quad (14)$$

the SQBR satisfying (11) can be easily constructed as

$$t_{ab} = \phi_{AB} \bar{\phi}_{A'B'}. \quad (15)$$

In order to find a convenient tensorial representation we first introduce an appropriate spin basis. The vacuum Bianchi identities (10) applied to the Weyl spinor of the form (13) imply

$$\alpha^A \nabla_{AA'} \alpha_B = \pi_{A'} \alpha_B, \quad (16)$$

for some spinor $\pi_{A'}$, showing that the null direction given by α^A is a (non-affinely parametrized) geodesic with vanishing shear. Let us define the spinor

$$o^A = \chi \alpha^A, \quad \chi = \exp \left\{ - \int \bar{\alpha}^{A'} \pi_{A'} dv \right\}, \quad (17)$$

where the integral is taken along the orbit of $\alpha^A \bar{\alpha}^{A'}$, which is parallelly propagated along $\ell^a = o^A \bar{o}^{A'}$, i.e.

$$D o^A = 0. \quad (18)$$

We complete o^A to the spin basis by introducing a spinor ι^A normalized by $o_A \iota^A = 1$ and propagate it by the condition $D \iota^A = 0$. In this basis, the Weyl spinor (13) reads

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \Psi_4 o_A o_B o_C o_D, \quad \Psi_4 = \chi^{-4}, \quad (19)$$

and the following spin coefficients vanish:

$$\kappa = \varepsilon = \pi = \sigma = 0, \quad (20)$$

where the shear σ vanishes by virtue of the Bianchi identities. The remaining non-trivial Bianchi identities are

$$D \Psi_4 = \rho \Psi_4, \quad \delta \Psi_4 = (\tau - 4\beta) \Psi_4. \quad (21)$$

The null tetrad $(\ell^a, n^a, m^a, \bar{m}^a)$ induced by the spin basis introduced above is covariantly constant along the principal null direction of the Weyl tensor, i.e.

$$D \ell^a = D n^a = D m^a = 0. \quad (22)$$

Now we can write

$$\phi_{AB} = \phi_2 o_A o_B, \quad \phi_2 = \sqrt{\Psi_4}, \quad (23)$$

and the SQBR (15) reads

$$t_{ab} = \phi_{AB} \bar{\phi}_{A'B'} = |\Psi_4| \ell_a \ell_b. \quad (24)$$

Taking the freedom (12) into account, we conclude that the most general form of SQBR in Type N spacetimes reads

$$t_{ab} = c |\Psi_4| \ell_a \ell_b + f g_{ab}, \quad (25)$$

where ℓ^a is the affinely parametrized principal null direction of the spacetime and f is an arbitrary function; the numerical dimensionless constant c has been put in by hand in order to make comparison with different possible conventions.

2.2. Type D spacetimes

Type D spacetimes admit two linearly independent principal null directions so that the Weyl spinor acquires the form

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \alpha_{(A}\beta_B\alpha_C\beta_{D)}. \quad (26)$$

Following the same procedure as in Type N we introduce spinor basis such that σ^A and ι^A are proportional to α^A and β^B , respectively, and associated ℓ^a and n^a are shear-free null geodesics ($\kappa = \nu = \lambda = \sigma = 0$). In this case, however, we cannot affinely parametrize both and hence we keep the general parametrization so that the spin coefficients ε and γ are not restricted.

There is a unique way of factorizing Ψ_{ABCD} in the form

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \phi_{(AB}\phi_{CD)}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\phi_{AB} = -2\phi_1\sigma_{(A}\iota_{B)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1 = \sqrt{\Psi_2}. \quad (28)$$

Now, the most general form of t_{ab} turns out to be

$$t_{ab} = 2c|\Psi_2|(\ell_{(a}n_{b)} + m_{(a}\bar{m}_{b)}) + f g_{ab}, \quad (29)$$

where m^a and \bar{m}^a complete the null tetrad and again f is an arbitrary function.

As a final comment regarding the construction of the SQBR, notice that for Weyl spinors (8) of Types different from N and D, there is no unambiguous way to pair up the principal spinors in order to form the spinor ϕ_{AB} . Hence the definition of EMT in those cases would not be unique.

2.3. Constraints on the SQBR

Notice that although vectors ℓ^a and n^a are unique only up to rescaling $\ell^a \rightarrow A\ell^a$, $n^a \rightarrow A^{-1}n^a$ with arbitrary function A , the SQBR in both Types is, in fact, invariant under such transformation. Hence, the only true freedom in the definition of SQBR lies in the choice of the arbitrary function f which has to be specified and constrained.

In previous works [11, 13], authors have enforced energy–momentum conservation to do so, hence finding the form of f such that the timelike projection of the covariant divergence of the EMT vanishes, i.e. $u_a \nabla_b t^{ab} = 0$. We have seen that Type D and N spacetimes have different definitions of EMT: consequently, the form of f consistent with the above condition is different for the two Types. We argue that, although energy conservation can be considered a natural requirement, such condition is not entirely justified and that a different constraint, motivated by different considerations, could be equally enforced.

In the theory of general relativity, gravity propagates at the speed of light. This theoretical assertion has been tested in several circumstances¹, for instance through the measurement of the deflection of light inside the Solar system [18] and in the recent observation of gravitational wave emission in concomitance with gamma ray burst emission from a neutron star merger [19]. This implies that, within a small error margin, the hypothetical particle carrier of the gravitational field should be massless. The energetic properties of any field expressed by a massless particle are described by a traceless EMT. Consequently, in order to convey the aforementioned facts, we conjecture that the gravitational EMT should be traceless. Formally, the function f measures the deviation of the EMT from tracelessness, so our conjecture amounts to

¹ For a review on experimental confirmations of GR see [17].

choosing $f = 0$. Such condition is applicable in both Type D and N simultaneously, in agreement with the reasonable expectation that the speed of propagation of gravitational effects should be independent from the Type of spacetime.

At this point one could say: we have now an EMT consistent with the masslessness of a would-be graviton; what about energy conservation? Is it not equally important? For a generic fluid in presence of gravity, one can rest assured that the covariant divergence of its EMT vanishes, thanks to Einstein's field equations and Bianchi identities. An EMT for the gravitational field cannot rely on such promise, as it is not supposed to enter in the right-hand side of Einstein's equations. Hence one has to deal with the possibility of energy dissipation and its interpretation in this context. Such effects are here expressed in terms of properties of the null congruences, hence they primarily depend on the symmetries of the spacetime. We argue further that the presence or otherwise of such dissipative effects depends on the choice of the congruence of observers. Such feature is generally present in standard fluids, where an observer might experience dissipative effects just because it is not comoving [20, 21]. This implies that in some spacetimes one could identify generalized Killing observers for which the gravitational energy is conserved. Ultimately, such energy flows can be ascribed to the state of the observer with respect to the geometric properties of the principal null congruences.

Notice that for matter fields tracelessness of the EMT implies conformal invariance of the equations of motion: this is not the case for SQBR, since any conformal transformation of the vacuum field equations generates a Ricci curvature, so that the conformally rescaled spacetime does not satisfy the vacuum equations anymore. Thus, our choice of a traceless EMT is not motivated by a requirement of conformal invariance.

3. Optical scalars for timelike congruences

In this paper we consider only spacetimes of Type N and Type D for which the SQBR can be defined unambiguously. Up to the freedom to be discussed, the algebraic structure of these spacetimes gives rise to a preferred family of timelike congruences (parametrized by the boost in the plane defined by ℓ^a and n^a) with the unit tangent vector field u^a . In what follows we will refer to the decomposition of the tangent bundle TM into the subspace $T^u M$ parallel to u^a and the orthogonal space $T^\perp M$ as the $1 + 3$ decomposition, i.e. we write $TM = T^u M \oplus T^\perp M$, where the projector $h : TM \mapsto T^\perp M$ is defined by

$$h_b^a = \delta_b^a - u^a u_b. \quad (30)$$

Notice that since u^a is not, in general, hypersurface-orthogonal, the decomposition on the level of tangent spaces does not yield the foliation of the spacetime, i.e. the $3 + 1$ decomposition.

Let us decompose the covariant derivative of u^a in a standard way as

$$\nabla_a u_b = u_a \dot{u}_b + \sigma_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} \theta + \omega_{ab}, \quad (31)$$

where $\dot{} \equiv u^c \nabla_c$ and the expansion θ , shear σ_{ab} and twist ω_{ab} are defined by

$$\theta = h^{ab} \nabla_a u_b, \quad \sigma_{ab} = h_a^c h_b^d \nabla_{(c} u_{d)} - \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} \theta, \quad \omega_{ab} = h_a^c h_b^d \nabla_{[c} u_{d]}. \quad (32)$$

For later convenience we also define the spatial part of the spacetime connection by the usual relation

$$\mathcal{D}_a X_b = h_a^c h_b^d \nabla_c X_d \quad (33)$$

for any X_a . A natural choice of unit timelike vector adapted to the principal null directions ℓ^a and n^a is given by

$$u^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (A \ell^a + A^{-1} n^a), \quad (34a)$$

where the boost parameter A is an arbitrary function of spacetime coordinates. We will see later on that, in terms of such preferred family of observers, the thermodynamic quantities associated to the gravitational field acquire the simplest form. For instance, in the fluid interpretation of t_{ab} to be given later, in Type D spacetimes this congruence corresponds to observers comoving with a fluid.

We can complement u^a adapted to the principal null directions with the triad

$$x^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (m^a + \bar{m}^a), \quad y^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i} (m^a - \bar{m}^a), \quad (34b)$$

$$z^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (A \ell^a - A^{-1} n^a), \quad (34c)$$

such that $\{u^a, x^a, y^a, z^a\}$ form an orthonormal tetrad. Occasionally we will employ the components of tensors with respect to an orthonormal tetrad. For that reason we introduce the soldering form e_a^a where a is an abstract index and $\mathbf{a} = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is a concrete index labeling the elements of the tetrad, i.e.

$$e_a^a = (u^a, x^a, y^a, z^a). \quad (35)$$

Tetrad components of tensor $X_{c\dots b}^{a\dots b}$ will be denoted by

$$X_{c\dots d}^{a\dots b} = e_a^a \dots e_b^b e_c^c \dots e_d^d X_{c\dots d}^{a\dots b}, \quad (36)$$

where $e_a^a = (u_a, -x_a, -y_a, -z_a)$ is dual to e_a^a . For labeling the elements of the spatial triad we will employ indices $\mathbf{i} = 1, 2, 3$.

In terms of the spin coefficients, the expansion of the congruence of u^a has the form

$$\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}A} \left(A^2 (\varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon}) - \gamma - \bar{\gamma} - A^2 (\rho + \bar{\rho}) + \mu + \bar{\mu} + A DA - \frac{\Delta A}{A} \right), \quad (37)$$

the non-vanishing projections of the shear tensor σ_{ab} onto the orthonormal tetrad (34a)–(34c) read

$$\sigma_{11} = \frac{1}{3} \theta + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} [A (\rho + \bar{\rho} + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}) - A^{-1} (\mu + \bar{\mu} + \lambda + \bar{\lambda})], \quad (38a)$$

$$\sigma_{12} = -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}A} [\lambda - \bar{\lambda} + A^2 (\sigma - \bar{\sigma})], \quad (38b)$$

$$\sigma_{13} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} [2 (\alpha + \bar{\alpha} + \beta + \bar{\beta}) + \pi + \bar{\pi} + \tau + \bar{\tau} - A^2 (\kappa + \bar{\kappa})] \quad (38c)$$

$$-A^{-2} (\nu + \bar{\nu}) + 2A^{-1} (\delta A + \bar{\delta} A)], \quad (38d)$$

$$\sigma_{23} = -\frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}} [2 (\alpha - \bar{\alpha} - \beta + \bar{\beta}) + \pi - \bar{\pi} - \tau + \bar{\tau} + A^2 (\kappa - \bar{\kappa})] \quad (38e)$$

$$-A^{-2}(\nu - \bar{\nu}) - 2A^{-1}(\delta A - \bar{\delta} A)] , \quad (38f)$$

$$\sigma_{33} = -\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22} = -2\sigma_{11}, \quad (38g)$$

and orthonormal components of the twist are

$$\omega_{12} = -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} (A(\rho - \bar{\rho}) + A^{-1}(\mu - \bar{\mu})) , \quad (39a)$$

$$\omega_{13} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} [2(\alpha + \bar{\alpha} + \beta + \bar{\beta}) - \pi - \bar{\pi} - \tau - \bar{\tau} + A^2(\kappa + \bar{\kappa}) \quad (39b)$$

$$+A^{-2}(\nu + \bar{\nu}) + 2A^{-1}(\delta A + \bar{\delta} A)] , \quad (39c)$$

$$\omega_{23} = -\frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}} [2(\alpha - \bar{\alpha} - \beta + \bar{\beta}) - \pi + \bar{\pi} + \tau - \bar{\tau} - A^2(\kappa - \bar{\kappa}) \quad (39d)$$

$$+A^{-2}(\nu - \bar{\nu}) - 2A^{-1}(\delta A - \bar{\delta} A)] . \quad (39e)$$

Finally, the components of the acceleration are given by

$$\dot{u}_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (A^2(\kappa + \bar{\kappa}) - A^{-2}(\nu + \bar{\nu}) + \tau + \bar{\tau} - \pi - \bar{\pi}) , \quad (39f)$$

$$\dot{u}_2 = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} (A^2(\bar{\kappa} - \kappa) + A^{-2}(\bar{\nu} - \nu) - \tau + \bar{\tau} - \pi + \bar{\pi}) , \quad (39g)$$

$$\dot{u}_3 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (DA + A^{-2} \Delta A + A^{-1}(\gamma + \bar{\gamma}) + A(\varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon})) . \quad (39h)$$

Since the components of the twist are, in general, non-vanishing, the congruence u^a is not hypersurface orthogonal and therefore it does not define a foliation of the spacetime corresponding to a family of observers. Can we choose A so as to make u^a hypersurface orthogonal? Non-trivial projections of the condition $u_{[a} \nabla_b u_{c]} = 0$ read

$$\delta \log A = \frac{1}{2}(\tau + \bar{\pi}) - (\bar{\alpha} + \beta), \quad A^2 = \frac{\mu - \bar{\mu}}{\bar{\rho} - \rho}. \quad (40)$$

Interestingly, one can check that integrability conditions for system (40) are formally satisfied. However, the function A is imaginary unless the fraction is positive. This happens, for example, below the Kerr horizon but *not* outside the horizon.

4. Fluid-like description of the EMT for generic observers

At this point, we are still missing the interpretation and the dynamical behavior of the SQBR. Such interpretation is possible only after we specify the family of observers, whose four-velocity defines a natural splitting of all tensorial quantities into spatial and temporal parts, which brings us naturally to a fluid-like description.

Consider a general timelike congruence t^a , with $t^a t_a = 1$, which will be interpreted as a set of worldlines representing a family of observers with four-velocity t^a . With t^a we associate the orthogonal projector $h_{ab} = g_{ab} - t_a t_b$ and any tensor can be decomposed into parts

parallel and orthogonal to t^a , respectively. In particular, any energy–momentum tensor t_{ab} can be decomposed as

$$t_{ab} = \mu_G t_a t_b + 2 q_{(a} t_{b)} + P_G h_{ab} + \pi_{ab}, \quad (41)$$

where μ_G is interpreted as the energy density measured by observer t^a , q_a is a purely spatial vector representing the heat flux, P_G is the isotropic pressure and π_{ab} is a purely spatial, trace-free symmetric tensor representing anisotropic pressure. Notice that these quantities behave like parts of a tensor under Lorentz transformations of t_a (see appendix), rather than like scalars, vectors or tensors. This is true in this context as well as in electromagnetism, and it means that some components of the EMT can be eliminated by choosing an appropriate frame of reference.

For normal matter the EMT is covariantly conserved; however, for the gravitational EMT we have

$$\nabla_b t^{ab} = -F^a. \quad (42)$$

In analogy with the electromagnetic case, where $\nabla_a T^{ab} = -F^{bc} j_c$, F_a can be interpreted as a force density.

We will show now that the timelike component of (42) can be recast in the form of a first law of thermodynamics, namely

$$t^b \nabla_a t_{ab} = \dot{\mu}_G + (\mu_G - P_G) \theta_t + \nabla^a q_a - q_b \dot{t}^b - \pi_{ab} \sigma^{ab}. \quad (43)$$

Let us denote by ϵ the four-dimensional volume form ϵ_{abcd} , so that the three-dimensional volume form for observers with four-velocity t^a is

$$\omega_t = \dot{t}_t \epsilon, \quad \text{or} \quad (\omega_t)_{bcd} = t^a \epsilon_{abcd}. \quad (44)$$

One would like to analyze the most generic variations of the thermodynamical quantities which would include perturbations of the metric as well as instantaneous deformations of the volume analogous to virtual displacements. In the present work we restrict to variations along the chosen timelike congruence t^a , so that the variations will correspond to Lie derivatives \mathcal{L}_t . For instance, the three-volume will vary as

$$\mathcal{L}_t \omega_t = \theta \omega_t, \quad (45)$$

where $\theta = \nabla_a t^a$ is the expansion of t^a . This term would correspond to δV in a first law, but it does not measure the deformations of the shape of the volume which are related to shear of the congruence. Both these effects are encoded in the Lie derivative of h_{ab} ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_t h_{ab} = \sigma_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} h_{ab} \theta_t \equiv \delta V_{ab} \quad (46)$$

which is a purely spatial tensor. At the same time, we define the variation of the energy contained in an infinitesimal volume as

$$\mathcal{L}_t (\mu_G \omega_t) = (\dot{\mu}_G + \theta_t \mu_G) \omega_t \equiv \delta U \omega_t. \quad (47)$$

Combining (46) and (47) we can rewrite (43) in the following form:

$$\delta U = \delta Q - \delta W - F_a t^a, \quad (48)$$

where we have denoted

$$\delta W = -t^{ab} \delta V_{ab}, \quad \delta Q = -\mathcal{D}_a q^a + 2 \dot{t}^a q_a. \quad (49)$$

Clearly, δW is a generalized work term which includes not only the change of the volume but also its deformation due to shear. The term δQ originates from the presence of the heat flux q^a in a given frame and hence we interpret it as the dissipative part of the first law. The presence of this term is in general observer dependent and, in fact, we will show that $\delta Q = 0$ for particular observers in general Type D spacetimes. Finally, the last term in (48) amounts to an intrinsic energy dissipation and we interpret its presence as the indication that even the free gravitational field is not a truly isolated system. Nevertheless, since F^a is a spatial vector, its temporal projection $F_a t^a$ can be set to zero by an appropriate choice of the observer; in such case, the intrinsic dissipation will contribute to the spatial projections of the balance equation (42) only. The presence of intrinsic dissipation in the gravitational sector of GR as well as in some modified gravity theories has been pointed out, e.g. by [22]: the authors, in particular, interpret such dissipation as arising from work done upon the microscopic degrees of freedom of gravity. We do not enter here in the details of such interpretation, although it is clear that the possibility of describing thermodynamically the macroscopic behavior of a system can hint towards a corresponding microscopic level of description and its statistical mechanical features.

In sections 6 and 7 we will provide explicit form of the terms in (48) in particular cases of Type N and Type D spacetimes and discuss their behavior in different frames. Before that, in the next section we are going to present an electromagnetic formulation of free gravity.

5. Electromagnetic interpretation

Analogies between gravitational and electromagnetic fields are well-known and they are systematically exploited in the formalism of gravito-electromagnetism [5]. Here we pursue the observation that if the SQBR can be defined, it naturally gives rise to an electromagnetic field with anti-self-dual part (7) where the spinor ϕ_{AB} is now given either by (23) for Type N or by (28) for Type D spacetime. In both cases, apart from freedom (12), the SQBR has the form

$$t_{ab} = \phi_{AB} \bar{\phi}_{A'B'} = \mathcal{F}_{ac} \bar{\mathcal{F}}^c_b, \quad (50)$$

which exactly corresponds to the energy-momentum tensor of electromagnetic field in otherwise empty spacetime [14]. Using the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \phi_{(AB} \phi_{CD)} &= 4 \phi_{AB} \phi_{CD} + 2 \phi_{A(C} \phi_{D)B} + \Phi^2 \epsilon_{A(C} \epsilon_{D)B}, \\ 2 \mathcal{F}_{a[b} \mathcal{F}_{c]d} &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AD} \epsilon_{BC} \epsilon_{A'(B'} \epsilon_{C')D'} \Phi^2 - \phi_{A(B} \phi_{C)D} \epsilon_{A'D'} \epsilon_{B'C'}, \\ 2 g_{a[b} g_{c]d} &= -\epsilon_{A(B} \epsilon_{C)D} \epsilon_{A'D'} \epsilon_{B'C'} - \epsilon_{A'(B'} \epsilon_{C')D'} \epsilon_{AD} \epsilon_{BC}, \end{aligned}$$

we can derive the tensorial form of the anti-self-dual part of the Weyl tensor:

$$\mathcal{C}_{abcd} = \phi_{(AB} \phi_{CD)} \epsilon_{A'B'} \epsilon_{C'D'} = \frac{2}{3} (\mathcal{F}_{ab} \mathcal{F}_{cd} - \mathcal{F}_{a[c} \mathcal{F}_{d]b}) - \frac{1}{3} g_{a[c} g_{d]b} \Phi^2, \quad (51)$$

where we have denoted

$$\Phi^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{ab} \mathcal{F}^{ab}. \quad (52)$$

We define the electric and magnetic fields as

$$E_a = F_{ab} t^b, \quad B_a = {}^* F_{ab} t^b, \quad (53)$$

in terms of which the anti-self-dual form reads

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (2 E_{[a} t_{b]} + \epsilon_{abc} B^c) + \frac{i}{2} (2 B_{[a} t_{b]} - \epsilon_{abc} E^c) , \quad (54)$$

where $\epsilon_{abc} \equiv \epsilon_{dabc} t^d$ is the 3-dimensional volume form. The electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl tensor are then

$$E_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (E_a E_b - B_a B_b) - \frac{1}{3} (E^2 - B^2) h_{ab} , \quad (55)$$

$$H_{ab} = E_{(a} B_{b)} - \frac{2}{3} E_c B^c h_{ab} . \quad (56)$$

Now we can relate (50) to the irreducible parts of (41) and express the fluid-like quantities in terms of the fields:

$$\mu_G = -\frac{1}{4} (E^2 + B^2) , \quad (57)$$

$$P_G = \frac{1}{12} (E^2 + B^2) , \quad (58)$$

$$q_a = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} E^b B^c \equiv -\frac{1}{2} (E \times B)_a , \quad (59)$$

$$\pi_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} (E_a E_b + B_a B_b) + \frac{1}{6} (E^2 + B^2) h_{ab} . \quad (60)$$

Notice that these quantities are formally identical to their electromagnetic counterparts: in particular the heat flux q_a is analogous to the Poynting vector.

6. Thermodynamics of Type N spacetimes

In Type N spacetimes, specializing to the congruence $t^a \equiv u^a$ given by (34a), the electromagnetic spinor acquires the form $\phi_{AB} = \phi_2 o_A o_B$, see (23), and its only non-vanishing component reads

$$\phi_2 = -E_x - i B_x = -B_y + i E_y = \sqrt{\Psi_4} . \quad (61)$$

We see that in this case the Poynting vector is given by

$$q_a = -(E_x^2 + B_x^2) z_a . \quad (62)$$

Since the electromagnetic field is algebraically special and $E_a B^a = 0$ is an invariant, no frame in which $(E \times B)_a = 0$ can be found and hence the heat flux q_a is always non-zero. This feature can be related to the fact that Type N spacetimes describe propagating gravitational radiation, whose presence is not observer-dependent: consequently, such component of the EMT cannot be eliminated by a Lorentz transformation.

The thermodynamic quantities in terms of Ψ_4 , with respect to frame adapted to the principal null directions, read

$$\mu_G = \frac{c}{2} A^{-2} |\Psi_4| , \quad (63a)$$

$$P_G = -\frac{c}{6} A^{-2} |\Psi_4|, \quad (63b)$$

$$q_a = \frac{c}{2} A^{-2} |\Psi_4| z_a, \quad (63c)$$

$$\pi_{ab} = -\frac{c}{6} A^{-2} |\Psi_4| (x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2 z_a z_b). \quad (63d)$$

Under general Lorentz transformation these quantities transform according to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_G &= \mu_G (\Lambda_0^0)^2 - 2 q_3 (\Lambda_0^0)(\Lambda_0^3) - P_G ((\Lambda_0^1)^2 + (\Lambda_0^2)^2 + (\Lambda_0^3)^2) \\ &\quad + \pi_{11} ((\Lambda_0^1)^2 + (\Lambda_0^2)^2 - 2(\Lambda_0^3)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (64a)$$

$$\tilde{P}_G = -\frac{1}{3} \tilde{\mu}_G, \quad (64b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_i &= \mu_G \Lambda_0^0 \Lambda_i^0 + 2 q_3 \Lambda_i^0 \Lambda_0^3 - P_G \sum_{j=1}^3 \Lambda_0^j \Lambda_i^j \\ &\quad + \pi_{11} (\Lambda_i^1 \Lambda_0^1 + \Lambda_i^2 \Lambda_0^2 - 2 \Lambda_i^3 \Lambda_0^3), \end{aligned} \quad (64c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_{ij} &= (\mu_G - P_G) \Lambda_i^0 \Lambda_j^0 - (\tilde{P}_G - P_G) \delta_{ij} + 2 q_3 \Lambda_{(i}^0 \Lambda_{j)}^3 \\ &\quad + \pi_{11} (\Lambda_i^1 \Lambda_j^1 + \Lambda_i^2 \Lambda_j^2 - 2 \Lambda_i^3 \Lambda_j^3). \end{aligned} \quad (64d)$$

The evolution² of the thermodynamic quantities along the congruence u^a can be related to the optical scalars of the congruence itself; introducing the operator

$$\tilde{\Theta} \equiv AD + A^{-1} \Delta, \quad (65)$$

we find

$$\dot{\mu}_G = \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\Theta} (|\Psi_4| A^{-2}), \quad (66a)$$

$$\dot{q}_0 = -\mu_G \dot{u}_3, \quad (66b)$$

$$\dot{q}_1 = \frac{c}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{|\Psi_4|}{A^2} (\bar{\tau} + \tau + A^{-2}(\nu + \bar{\nu})), \quad (66c)$$

$$\dot{q}_2 = \frac{ic}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{|\Psi_4|}{A^2} (\bar{\tau} - \tau + A^{-2}(\nu - \bar{\nu})), \quad (66d)$$

$$\dot{q}_3 = -\dot{\mu}_G, \quad (66e)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_{01} = -\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}_1, \quad \dot{\pi}_{02} = -\frac{1}{3} \dot{u}_2, \quad \dot{\pi}_{03} = \frac{2}{3} \dot{u}_3, \quad (66f)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_{23} = -\dot{q}_2, \quad \dot{\pi}_{13} = -\dot{q}_1, \quad \dot{\pi}_{12} = 0, \quad (66g)$$

² We adopt the following notation: $\dot{\pi}_{rs} = e_r^a e_s^b (u^c \nabla_c \pi_{ab})$ and $\dot{q}_r = e_r^a (u^c \nabla_c q_a)$.

$$\dot{\pi}_{11} = \dot{\pi}_{22} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\pi}_{33} = -\frac{c}{6\sqrt{2}}A^{-2}\tilde{\Theta}|\Psi_4|. \quad (66h)$$

Notice that the Bianchi identities cannot be used in order to eliminate Δ and $\bar{\delta}$ derivatives of Ψ_4 since Ψ_4 can be freely specified on an initial null hypersurface. Notice that the evolution equation for μ_G is equivalent to the first law defined by (43). The force density, i.e. the covariant divergence of the SQBR, is given in Type N by

$$F^a = \frac{c}{2}|\Psi_4|(\rho + \bar{\rho})\ell^a, \quad (67)$$

and it is hence governed exclusively by the expansion of the ℓ^a congruence. The explicit expressions of the terms in the first law (48) read

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q = & \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}}|\Psi_4| \left(A^{-1}(\mu + \bar{\mu} - \gamma - \bar{\gamma}) + \frac{A}{2}(\rho + \bar{\rho}) + DA - 3A^{-2}\Delta A \right) \\ & + \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}}A^{-1}\Delta|\Psi_4|, \end{aligned} \quad (68a)$$

$$\delta W = \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}}A^{-3}|\Psi_4|(-\gamma - \bar{\gamma} + A DA - A^{-1}\Delta A), \quad (68b)$$

$$F_a u^a = \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}}A^{-1}(\rho + \bar{\rho})|\Psi_4|. \quad (68c)$$

6.1. *pp-wave spacetime*

An important example of Type N spacetime is that of plane-parallel waves (*shape pp-waves*) [15]. In the coordinates $x^\mu = (u, v, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, where $\zeta = x + iy$, the line element reads

$$ds^2 = 2du dv + 2H(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})du^2 - 2d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}, \quad (69)$$

where H is an arbitrary function harmonic in the coordinates x, y . A convenient choice of the null tetrad is

$$\ell = \partial_v, \quad n = \partial_u - H(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})\partial_v, \quad m = \partial_\zeta, \quad (70)$$

in which the only non-vanishing NP scalars are

$$\Psi_4 = H_{,\bar{\zeta}\bar{\zeta}}, \quad \nu = H_{,\bar{\zeta}}, \quad (71)$$

where comma means derivative with respect to the indicated variables. Thus, using $\Delta|\Psi_4| = |\Psi_4|_{,u}$, the first law and the evolution of the heat flux read

$$\dot{\mu}_G = -\frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{A^4} \left\{ 2|\Psi_4| \left[(A^2 - H) A_{,v} + A_{,u} \right] - A|\Psi_4|_{,u} \right\}, \quad (72a)$$

$$\dot{q}_0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{|\Psi_4|_{,u}}{A^3} - \frac{\dot{\mu}_G}{2}, \quad (72b)$$

$$\dot{q}_1 = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\frac{|\Psi_4|}{A^4} (H_{,\zeta} + H_{,\bar{\zeta}}), \quad (72c)$$

$$\dot{q}_2 = \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{|\Psi_4|}{A^4} (H_{,\zeta} - H_{,\bar{\zeta}}), \quad (72d)$$

$$\dot{q}_3 = -\dot{\mu}_G. \quad (72e)$$

The expansion of the congruence (34a) is

$$\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}A^2} [(A^2 + H) A_{,v} - A_{,u}], \quad (73)$$

so that the variation of the volume reads

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta V_{\mu\nu}) dx^\mu dx^\nu = & -\frac{\theta}{2A^2} (dv^2 + (A^2 - H)^2 du^2) + \theta \left(1 - \frac{H}{A^2}\right) du dv \\ & + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}A^3} (H_{,\zeta} d\zeta + H_{,\bar{\zeta}} d\bar{\zeta}) (dv - (A^2 - H) du). \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

We notice that in this case the SQBR is fully conserved, in the sense that $F^a \equiv 0$, as the expansion of the ℓ^a congruence vanishes. Consequently, the terms δW and δQ are the only ones contributing to the first law (48):

$$\delta W = \frac{c}{2A^2} \theta |\Psi_4|, \quad (75)$$

$$\delta Q = \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}A^4} (A |\Psi_4|_{,u} + 4 |\Psi_4| (H A_{,v} - A_{,u})). \quad (76)$$

Notice that, for the choice

$$A = A_0(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \sqrt{|\Psi_4|}, \quad (77)$$

where A_0 is an arbitrary function of the arguments indicated, (66a) implies that $\dot{\mu}_G = 0$, which means that gravitational energy is conserved along such congruence. Then, the expansion takes the form

$$\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}A_0} (|\Psi_4|^{-1/2})_{,u}, \quad (78)$$

and the work and heat terms take the form

$$\delta Q = 2 \delta W = c \theta A_0^{-2}. \quad (79)$$

7. Thermodynamics of Type D spacetimes

In Type D spacetimes, with the same choice of frame given by (34a), the electromagnetic spinor acquires the form (28) and, in term of the fields, ϕ_1 reads

$$\phi_1 = -E_z - i B_z = \sqrt{\Psi_2}. \quad (80)$$

This implies that E^a and B^a are parallel, so that for such observers $q_a = 0$. However, transforming to a general frame, q_a becomes non-vanishing, which is analogous to the presence of dissipative effects for non-comoving observers in a fluid. The reason is that, contrary to Type N, in this case q_a does not represent a true flux of gravitational energy and has purely kinematical origin. In the frame adapted to the principal null directions, which we henceforth call *comoving*, the thermodynamic quantities in terms of Ψ_2 read

$$\mu_G = c |\Psi_2|, \quad (81a)$$

$$P_G = -\frac{c}{3} |\Psi_2|, \quad (81b)$$

$$q_a = 0, \quad (81c)$$

$$\pi_{ab} = \frac{2c}{3} |\Psi_2| (x_a x_b + y_a y_b - 2 z_a z_b). \quad (81d)$$

Transforming the comoving frame to a generic one as explained in appendix, we get

$$\tilde{\mu}_G = (\mu_G + P_G)(\Lambda_0^0)^2 - (P_G - \pi_{11}) \sum_{i=1}^3 (\Lambda_0^i)^2 - 3 \pi_{11} (\Lambda_0^3)^2, \quad (82a)$$

$$\tilde{P}_G = -\frac{1}{3} \tilde{\mu}_G, \quad (82b)$$

$$\tilde{q}_i = (\mu_G + P_G) \Lambda_i^0 \Lambda_0^0 - (P_G - \pi_{11}) \sum_{j=1}^3 \Lambda_i^j \Lambda_0^j - 3 \pi_{11} \Lambda_i^3 \Lambda_0^3, \quad (82c)$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{ij} = (\mu_G + P_G) \Lambda_i^0 \Lambda_j^0 - (P_G - \pi_{11}) \sum_{k=1}^3 \Lambda_i^k \Lambda_j^k - 3 \pi_{11} \Lambda_i^3 \Lambda_j^3 - \tilde{P}_G \delta_{ij}. \quad (82d)$$

The evolution of such quantities along the timelike congruence u^a is given by

$$\dot{\mu}_G + \dot{P}_G = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} (\mu_G + P_G) [A(\rho + \bar{\rho}) - A^{-1}(\mu + \bar{\mu})], \quad (83a)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_{01} = (\mu_G + P_G) \dot{u}_1, \quad \dot{\pi}_{02} = (\mu_G + P_G) \dot{u}_2, \quad (83b)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_{03} = -2(\mu_G + P_G) \dot{u}_3, \quad (83c)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_{11} = \dot{\pi}_{22} = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{\pi}_{33} = \dot{\mu}_G + \dot{P}_G, \quad (83d)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_{12} = 0, \quad (83e)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_{13} = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} (\mu_G + P_G) [\tau + \bar{\tau} + \pi + \bar{\pi}], \quad (83f)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_{23} = \frac{3i}{2\sqrt{2}} (\mu_G + P_G) [\tau - \bar{\tau} - \pi + \bar{\pi}]. \quad (83g)$$

The covariant divergence of the SQBR yields the force density

$$F^a = -\frac{c}{2} |\Psi_2| \left[(\mu + \bar{\mu}) \ell^a - (\rho + \bar{\rho}) n^a - (\bar{\tau} - \pi) m^a - (\tau - \bar{\pi}) \bar{m}^a \right]. \quad (84)$$

With regard to the first law, since the heat flux q^a vanishes for the comoving congruence, the dissipative term is identically zero, i.e. $\delta Q = 0$. Moreover, it is straightforward to show that

$$\delta W = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} |\Psi_2| \left[-A(\varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon} + \rho + \bar{\rho}) + A^{-1}(\gamma + \bar{\gamma} + \mu + \bar{\mu}) - DA + A^{-2} \Delta A \right], \quad (85)$$

$$F^a u_a = \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2}} |\Psi_2| \left[A(\rho + \bar{\rho}) - A^{-1}(\mu + \bar{\mu}) \right], \quad (86)$$

so that the first law for observers u^a can be written as

$$\dot{\mu}_G = -\mu_G (\theta - 3\sigma_{11}) = -\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} \mu_G \left[-A(\rho + \bar{\rho}) + A^{-1}(\mu + \bar{\mu}) \right], \quad (87)$$

where we have recast the equation also in terms of optical scalars and we have used the redundancy between P_G and μ_G to express the law exclusively in terms of the latter. However notice that this is formally the same as (83a). Energy is conserved along the timelike congruence if $\dot{\mu}_G = 0$ and this happens whenever $\theta = 3\sigma_{11}$ is satisfied. We notice that the condition for energy conservation brings as well to $F^a u_a = 0$. Below we specialize to the case of Kerr black hole metric and find the specific observers with this property.

7.1. Kerr black hole and Carter observers

In the Boyer–Lindquist coordinates, the null tetrad adapted to principal null directions reads

$$\ell = \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta_K} \partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{a}{\Delta_K} \partial_\phi, \quad (88a)$$

$$n = \frac{1}{2\Sigma} \left((r^2 + a^2) \partial_t - \Delta_K \partial_r + a \partial_\phi \right), \quad (88b)$$

$$m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma} \left(i a \sin \theta \partial_t + \partial_\theta + \frac{i}{\sin \theta} \partial_\phi \right), \quad (88c)$$

where

$$\Delta_K = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2, \quad \Gamma = r + i a \cos \theta, \quad \Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta. \quad (89)$$

Being Type D, Kerr spacetime has only one non-vanishing Weyl scalar, namely

$$\Psi_2 = -\frac{M}{\Gamma^3}, \quad (90)$$

so that the gravitational energy density is given by

$$\mu_G = \frac{cM}{\Sigma^{3/2}}. \quad (91)$$

The non-vanishing spin coefficients read

$$\mu = -\frac{\Delta_K}{2\Sigma\Gamma}, \quad \gamma = \mu + \frac{r-M}{2\Sigma}, \quad (92a)$$

$$\pi = \frac{i a \sin \theta}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma^2}, \quad \alpha = \pi - \bar{\beta}, \quad \rho = -\frac{1}{\Gamma}, \quad (92b)$$

$$\tau = -\frac{i a \sin \theta}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma}, \quad \beta = \frac{\cot \theta}{2\sqrt{2}\Gamma}. \quad (92c)$$

The first law (87) now takes the form

$$\dot{\mu}_G = -\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{r}{\Sigma} \left[2A - \frac{\Delta_K}{A\Sigma} \right] \mu_G. \quad (93)$$

It is instructive to show all the components of the first law as expressed in (48):

$$\delta Q = 0, \quad (94a)$$

$$\delta W = \frac{cM}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma^{5/2}} \left[2Ar + A^{-1} (r - M - 2r\Delta_K\Sigma^{-1}) - \Sigma (DA - A^{-2}\Delta A) \right], \quad (94b)$$

$$F_a u^a = \frac{cM}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{r}{\Sigma^{5/2}} \left(\frac{\Delta_K}{A\Sigma} - 2A \right). \quad (94c)$$

There exists a class of observers for which $F^a u_a = \delta W = 0$ simultaneously, corresponding to the choice

$$A = A_{\text{cart}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_K}{2\Sigma}}. \quad (95)$$

Such form of the boost parameter identifies the so-called *Carter observers*, for which

$$u_{\text{cart}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Sigma\Delta_K}} \left[(r^2 + a^2) \partial_t + a \partial_\phi \right]. \quad (96)$$

These trajectories are the only ones whose 4-velocities belong to the intersection of the $\ell - n$ plane with the Killing plane $t - \phi$. Apart from possessing the symmetries that allow exact integration of the geodesic equation, it has been noticed in the literature [23] that for such observers the *super-Poynting vector* vanishes and the *super-energy* is minimized. In fact, the vanishing of the super-Poynting vector is a feature of all congruences with generic boost in the $\ell - n$ plane. We have shown here, further, that the *heat flux* q_a vanishes for a class of observers broader than the Carter ones (in fact, for any choice of A in the adapted tetrad) and that the *energy* μ_G defined by the SQBR is conserved along u_{cart} . Notice that, being the force density, the quantity F_a is a purely spatial vector and Carter frame is the one for which its timelike component vanishes. Nevertheless, spatial components of F_a are non-zero and read

$$F_1 = -\frac{c a^2 r M \cos \theta \sin \theta}{\Sigma^{7/2}}, \quad F_2 = \frac{c a^3 M \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta}{\Sigma^{7/2}}, \quad F_3 = -\frac{c r M \sqrt{\Delta_K}}{\Sigma^3}. \quad (97)$$

We stress again that F_a is an intrinsic quantity and, as such, it cannot be gauged away. Finally, it is easy to check that in the limit of non-rotating black hole spacetime, i.e. $a \rightarrow 0$, the congruence u_{cart} corresponds to static observers at fixed radial distance.

8. Final remarks

In the present paper we have provided a detailed analysis of the properties of the SQBR interpreted as an energy-momentum tensor for the gravitational field. In analogy with the behavior of any massless field theory, the tracelessness of the EMT has been chosen as a fundamental constraint on the definition of the SQBR. Further, we have provided both a fluid-like and an electromagnetic-like description of the SQBR, finding explicit relations between optical scalars of the timelike congruences associated with observers and the thermodynamic

and electromagnetic quantities associated with the spacetime geometry. We conclude that the gravitational field, as described by the SQBR under the aforementioned constraint, is a genuinely dissipative system because the energy–momentum tensor is not covariantly conserved. In fact, the deviation from conservation as expressed by the balance equation (42) is not an observer-dependent effect and hence cannot in general be attributed to a choice of frame. One could conjecture that such intrinsic dissipation could be related to a transfer of energy between the macroscopic gravitational field and its underlying microscopic degrees of freedom, in the spirit of [22, 24]. Nevertheless, pp-wave spacetime is an example where this intrinsic dissipation vanishes identically.

We have proposed a generalized first law of gravitational thermodynamics arising from the timelike component of the balance equation, i.e. $\delta U = \delta Q - \delta W - F_a u^a$. Such first law contains terms which can be interpreted as due to work and to dissipation. The work term δW arises from the deformation of the volume element along the observer’s worldline. Among the dissipative terms, δQ is directly related to the heat flux q^a and represents the transfer of energy between parts of the system. In Type N spacetimes, which describe propagation of gravitational waves, this term cannot be killed by a choice of frame; in Type D, instead, there exist *comoving frames* in which q^a and hence δQ are zero. The dissipative term $F_a u^a$, being only the timelike component of the intrinsic dissipation, can be gauged away for specific choices of the observer.

An important ingredient in the description of ordinary fluids is the equation of state, relating the pressure to the energy density. The role of the equation of state here is played by the constraint imposed on the free function f in (12): with our choice $f = 0$, which makes the SQBR traceless (see section 2.3), we obtain $P_G = -1/3 \mu_G$ which corresponds in our conventions to a radiation-like fluid. This is true for both Type N and D spacetimes. Moreover, unlike other observer-dependent effects, the form of the equation of state is invariant under general Lorentz transformations (see (64b) and (82b)).

We exemplified such results in the case of Type N for a pp-wave metric. We have shown that the SQBR in these spacetimes is covariantly conserved, i.e. $F^a \equiv 0$ and that there exists a congruence of observers for which the energy density is conserved. The only contribution to the change of the internal energy δU in this case is solely due to the expansion of the congruence itself. In Type D spacetimes, we have analyzed the thermodynamic properties of the gravitational field in the specific case of Kerr black holes in comoving frames, for which $\delta Q = 0$. Among such comoving frames, a particular subclass known in the literature as *Carter observers* have in addition the property of conservation of gravitational energy density, i.e. $u_{\text{cart}}^a \nabla_a \mu_G = 0$. We stress the fact that such property is not due to a fortuitous cancellation between the various terms in the first law: in fact, apart from having vanishing expansion θ_u , for Carter observers the work term δW and the dissipation $F_a u^a$ are separately identically zero.

In the present paper we assumed that the SQBR provides a correct thermodynamic description of the gravitational field and hence investigated the interpretation of the results directly following from this assumption. However, the physical viability of this approach is still matter of research. In particular, in order to justify the definition of gravitational energy based on SQBR one should investigate its limits in canonical examples, like ADM mass or linearized gravity. Moreover, in this paper we formulated the first law *locally* in terms of densities, while for a full thermodynamic description one would need a *quasi-local* formulation of thermodynamic laws and a proper definition of variations of quasi-local quantities. This would also help in clarifying the role of the dissipative effects encountered in the present analysis. These issues will be addressed in subsequent works.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the GAČR grant 17-16260Y of the Czech Science Foundation. GA and MS thank László Szabados and Rituparno Goswami for their kind hospitality and useful discussions. We thank the anonymous referees for enlightening comments that lead to improvements in the paper.

Appendix. Lorentz transformations

Consider the orthonormal tetrad (34a)–(34c) induced by a null tetrad adapted to principal null directions of given Type N or Type D spacetime. We interpret such tetrad as the one associated with a comoving observer for whom the thermodynamic quantities acquire their simplest form. There are several reasons why we wish to consider a general observer, though. First, u^a given by (34a) is in general not hypersurface orthogonal and hence does not define a foliation of the spacetime by spacelike hypersurfaces. Such foliation is necessary if one wants to introduce quasi-local thermodynamic quantities as integrals over spatial domains. Second, in accordance with the fluid interpretation presented in section 4, some effects like the presence of a heat flux are observer-dependent.

In order to discuss generic observers, we consider a general Lorentz transformation of the comoving tetrad induced by a sequence of basic types of transformations of the spin basis [14]. The most general transformation of the spin basis reads

$$\tilde{o}^A = L e^{i\chi} o^A + R \iota^A, \quad \tilde{\iota}^A = L^{-1} e^{-i\chi} \iota^A + S \tilde{o}^A, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the real parameter L represents the boost in the plane spanned by ℓ^a and n^a , the real parameter χ induces rotation in the plane spanned by m^a and \bar{m}^a , and the complex parameters R and S represent null rotations with fixed ℓ^a and n^a , respectively. The transformation of the spin basis gives rise to the Lorentz transformation

$$\tilde{e}_a^a = \Lambda_b^a e_a^b, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where the tetrad components of Λ_b^a are given by $\Lambda_a^b = \tilde{e}_a^b e_b^a$. Explicitly we have

$$\Lambda_0^0 = \frac{1}{2}(L^2 + L^{-2}) + \frac{1}{L} \Re(e^{i\chi} R S) + \frac{1}{2}(|R|^2 + L^2 |S|^2 + |R S|^2), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\Lambda_0^1 = \Re(e^{i\chi} L \bar{R}(1 + |S|^2) + S e^{2i\chi}), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\Lambda_0^2 = -\Im(L \bar{R} e^{i\chi}(1 + |S|^2) + S e^{2i\chi}), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\Lambda_0^3 = -\frac{1}{2}(L^{-2} - L^2) - \frac{1}{L} \Re(e^{i\chi} R S) - \frac{1}{2}(|R|^2 - L^2 |S|^2 + |R S|^2), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\Lambda_1^0 = \frac{1}{L} \Re(R e^{i\chi}) + (L^2 + |R|^2) \Re S, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\Lambda_1^1 = \cos 2\chi + 2L (\Re S) \Re(\bar{R} e^{i\chi}), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\Lambda_1^2 = -\sin 2\chi - 2L (\Re S) \Im(\bar{R} e^{i\chi}), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\Lambda_1^3 = -\frac{1}{L} \Re(R e^{i\chi}) - (|R|^2 - L^2) \Re S, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\Lambda_2^0 = \frac{1}{L} \Im(R e^{i\chi}) - (L^2 + |R|^2) \Im S, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\Lambda_2^1 = \sin 2\chi - 2L(\Im S) \Re(\bar{R} e^{i\chi}), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\Lambda_2^2 = \cos 2\chi + 2L(\Im S) \Im(\bar{R} e^{i\chi}), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\Lambda_2^3 = -\frac{1}{L} \Im(R e^{i\chi}) - (L^2 - |R|^2) \Im S, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$\Lambda_3^0 = \frac{1}{2}(L^2 + L^{-2}) - \frac{1}{L} \Re(R S e^{i\chi}) + \frac{1}{2}(|R|^2 - L^2 |S|^2 - |R S|^2), \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$\Lambda_3^1 = -\Re(S e^{2i\chi} + L(1 + |S|^2) \bar{R} e^{i\chi}), \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$\Lambda_3^2 = -L(1 + |S|^2) \Im(\bar{R} e^{i\chi}) + \Im(S e^{2i\chi}), \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$\Lambda_3^3 = \frac{1}{2}(L^2 + L^{-2}) + \frac{1}{L} \Re(R S e^{i\chi}) - \frac{1}{2}(|R|^2 + L^2 |S|^2 - |R S|^2), \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where \Re and \Im represent the real and the imaginary parts, respectively.

ORCID iDs

G Acquaviva  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8197-0495>

References

- [1] Einstein A 1923 Hamiltonisches prinzip und allgemeine relativitätstheorie *Das Relativitätsprinzip* (Berlin: Springer) pp 125–9
- [2] Einstein A 1918 *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* (Berlin: Verlag der Akademie der Wissenschaften) pp 448–59
- [3] Dirac P A M 1996 *General Theory of Relativity* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
- [4] Szabados L B 2004 *Living Rev. Relativ.* **7** 4
- [5] Maartens R and Bassett B A 1998 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15** 705
- [6] Mashhoon B 2001 *Gravitoelectromagnetism Reference Frames and Gravitomagnetism* (Singapore: World Scientific) pp 121–32
- [7] Senovilla J M 2000 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17** 2799
- [8] Garecki J 2001 *Ann. Phys.* **10** 911–9
- [9] Gómez-Lobo A G P 2014 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **31** 135008
- [10] Bonilla M Á and Senovilla J M 1997 *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **29** 91–116
- [11] Clifton T, Ellis G F and Tavakol R 2013 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **30** 125009
- [12] Sussman R A and Larena J 2014 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **31** 075021
- [13] Acquaviva G, Ellis G F R, Goswami R and Hamid A I M 2015 *Phys. Rev. D* **91** 064017
- [14] Penrose R and Rindler W 1984 *Spinors and Space-Time: Volume 1: Two-Spinor Calculus and Relativistic Fields* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [15] Stewart J M 1993 *Advanced General Relativity* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

- [16] Bergqvist G 1998 *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **30** 227–38
- [17] Will C M 2014 *Living Rev. Relativ.* **17** 4
- [18] Fomalont E B and Kopeikin S M 2003 *Astrophys. J.* **598** 704
- [19] Abbott B et al 2017 *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **848** L13
- [20] Coley A and Tupper B 1983 *Astrophys. J.* **271**
- [21] Herrera L, Di Prisco A and Ibáñez J 2011 *Phys. Rev. D* **84** 064036
- [22] Chirco G and Liberati S 2010 *Phys. Rev. D* **81** 024016
- [23] Bini D, Boshkayev K and Geralico A 2012 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** 145003
- [24] Acquaviva G, Iorio A and Scholtz M 2017 *Ann. Phys., NY* **387**